UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,917	09/15/2003	Iqbal Jami	4-2	2734
	7590 02/05/201 strator - Room 3D-201	EXAMINER		
Alcatel-Lucent	00111111	HO, HUY C		
600-700 Mountain Avenue Murray Hill, NJ 07974			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/05/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/662,917	JAMI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
HUY C. HO	2617	

	HUY C. HO	2617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 25 January 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of nortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<ul> <li>3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further con</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the proposed in the property of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the proposed in the property of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b</li> </ul>	sideration and/or search (see NOT v); er form for appeal by materially red	ΓE below); ducing or simplifying tl	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed and the compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed and the claim(s).	See attached Notice of Non-Con	mpliant Amendment (l	·
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,5-8 and 10-16. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to oshowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
<ul> <li>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> <li>11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Patrick N. Edouard/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617	/Huy C Ho/ Examiner, Art Unit 2617		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The main arguments on pages 5-8: "Reference Vialen fails to teach or suggest deciding to switch between dedicated and shared channels dependent upon the determination of acknowledgment receipt on the shared channel", the examiner respectfully disagrees because Vialen, in same analogous art with references Winberg and Helmersson, which both teach switching between channels dedicated and common channels in the UMTS system based on parameters, e.g., buffer levels, data throughput levels, therefore determining weather or not to switch between channels in order to improve data throughputs for the system (see Winberg, the abstract, page 2 lines 10-30, page 4 lines 1-25, page 5 lines 5-33), and Helmersson teaches switching scheme between common and dedicated channels based on signal link guality (see Helmersson, page 9 lines 15-30, page 10 lines 29-30, page 11 lines 1-30), reference Vialen teaches method and system making decision about which channel is used for transferring packet data based on channel selection of a wide range of parameters and values that are transmitted on a common channel such as Broadcast channel BCH and Forwarding channel FACH. The parameters concern for all mobile stations in the system are sent on the common channel to all stations for decision whether to use common or dedicated channels, the mobile stations receive information from these parameters on the common channel, send acknowledgments along with the parameters then the system based upon the selected parameters and the acknowledgments received on the common channel, making determination of which channel should be used (see Vialen, the abstract, col 2 lines 60-67, col 2 lines 1-10, 30-67, col 4 lines 1-35). Therefore, Vialen teaches and discloses switch between dedicated and shared channels dependent upon the determination of acknowledgment receipt on the shared channel. On pages 8-9, the arguments for claims 6 and 11, the system claims, that argue for the similar features as claimed in method